

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 VIENNA 02661 051121Z
ACTION IO-13

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 L-03 AF-08 ARA-06 EA-07
NEA-10 AID-05 CIAE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00 EB-08 OIC-02
CEA-01 COME-00 FRB-03 SP-02 STR-04 TRSE-00 LAB-04
SIL-01 OMB-01 /098 W
-----051200Z 065599 /11

R 050915Z APR 77
FM AMEMBASSY VIENNA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1295
INFO USUN NEW YORK 3961

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE VIENNA 2661
FOR SCHWEBEL AND NORRIS

EO 11652: N/A
TAGS: UNIDO, EIND, OCON, ORG
SUBJ: IGC-V: ARTICLES 20 (AMENDMENTS) AND 24 (RESERVATIONS);
OUTSTANDING ISSUES

REF: VIENNA 2362

1. DURING THE FIFTH SESSION OF THE INTER-GOVERNMENTAL
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO DRAW UP A CONSTITUTION FOR UNIDO
AS A SPECIALIZED AGENCY (IGC-V), THE LEGAL DRAFTING GROUP
WAS CALLED UPON TO CONSIDER PROVISIONS PREVIOUSLY ASSIGNED
TO IT ON WHICH AGREEMENT HAD NOT BEEN REACHED: ARTICLE 3
(BOS) (OBSERVERS); 5 (WITHDRAWAL); 19 (SETTLEMENT OF
DISPUTES) 20 (AMENDMENTS); 22(ENTRY INTO FORCE); 23(TRANS-
ITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS;) AND 24(RESERVATIOLS. IT ALSO DEALT
WITH ANNEX I (TRANSITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS) AND ANNEX IV
(RULES CONCERNING ARBITRAL TRIBUNALS AND CONCILIATION
COMMISSIONS);. AGREEMENT WAS REACHED EXCEPT WITH
RESPECT TO ANNEX I, WHICH WAS DELETED, AND ARTICLES 20
AND 24.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 VIENNA 02661 051121Z

2. LOOKING TOWARD FORMULATION OF U.S. POSITIONS AT ANY
FUTURE NEGOTIATIONS ON THE UNIDO CONSTITUTION, THE PROBLEMS
WITH RESPECT TO ARTICLE 20 (AMENDMENTS) ARE AS FOLLOWS:

(A) SHOULD THERE BE A MORATORIUM ON THE SUBMISSION OF
AMENDMENTS FOR A SPECIFIED PERIOD AFTER THE CONSTITUTION
ENTERS INTO FORCE? THE CONSIDERATION IN FAVOR OF A MORATOR-

IUM IS OBVIOUS: WE SHOULD HAVE A GOOD LOOK AT THE OPERATION OF THE EXISTING CONSTITUTION BEFORE WE START CHANGING IT. GROUP B INITIALLY PROPOSED A FIVE YEAR MORATORIUM, AND LATER CHANGED TO A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT FORMULATION: TWO FISCAL PERIODS (FOUR YEARS). OUR SOUNDINGS INDICATE THAT GRP D SUPPORTS A SUBSTANTIAL MORATORIUM AND THAT GROUP 77 AGREES THAT THERE SHOULD BE A MORATORIUM, BUT NOT AS LONG AS GROUP B SUGGESTS., E.G., ONE FINANCIAL PERIOD.

(B) SHOULD ALL PROPOSED AMENDMENTS BE CONSIDERED IN SOME FASHION BY THE BOARD? GROUP B URGED THAT PROPOSAL AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLES ON THE "SHOPPING LIST" (SEE (D) BELOW) RECEIVE A FOUR FIFTHS (LATTER GROUP B CONSIDERED THREE-QUARTERS IN THE LEGAL DRAFTING GROUP AND EVEN TWO-THIRDS IN THE CORRIDORS) MAJORITY IN THE BOARD BEFORE BEING RECOMMENDED TO THE CONFERENCE. GROUP B ALSO URGED THAT NON"SHOPPING LIST" ARTICLES BE SENT TO THE BOARD FOR COMMENT, THOUGH NOT NECESSARILY APPROVAL, BEFORE BEING SENT TO THE CONFERENCE. GROUP D WAS SUPPORTIVE, THOUGH QUET, ON THE GROUP B PROPOSALS. GROUP 77 WAS PREPARED TO CONCEDE A SPECIAL STATUS FOR "SHOPPING LIST" ARTICLES, BUT WOULD NOT AGREE TO BOARD CONSIDERATION OF THE "NON-SHOPPING LIST" ARTICLES, (AS REPORTED IN SEPTEL, GROUP B IN PRESIDENT'S NEGOTIATING GROUP INDICATED THAT IT WOULD ACCEPT G-77 POSITION ON THIS POINT IN ENDEAVOR TO REACH COMPROMISE TEXT OF ENTIRE ARTICLE, INCLUDING SHOPPING LIST.) COMMENT: GIVEN THE IMPORTANCE OF ARTICLE 20, GROUP B COUNTRIES HAVE NOT BEEN AS TOUGH AS WE WOUOD HAVE HOPED, FOR EXAMPLE, THEIR WILLINGNESS TO DISCUSS RATIFICATION OF LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 VIENNA 02661 051121Z

SHOPPING LIST ARTICLES BY ONLY TWO-THIRDS.

(C) HOW MUCH PROTECTION DOES THE REQUIREMENT OF A TWO-THIRDS OF THE MEMBERS RPT MEMBERS OF THE CONFERENCE FOR THE ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS GIVE THE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES? GROUP 77 ARGUES THAT THIS REQUIREMENT IS A SUBSTANTIAL PROTECTION FOR US. US DEL IS SCEPTICAL; WE ASSUME THAT IF AN IMPORTANT AMENDMENT WERE ON THE AGENDA, GROUP 77 WOULD BE ABLE TO MOBILIZE ITS TROOPS. WE CONCLUDE THAT AT FUTURE MEETINGS THERE SHOULD BE LITTLE CONFIDENCE ON THE PART OF THE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES THAT THEY COULD HOLD OFF AN UNDESIRABLE AMENDMENT AT THE CONFERENCE.

(D) WHAT ARTICLES SHOULD COMPRISE THE "SHOPPING LIST"? GROUP B HAS PROPOSED THE FOLLOWING "SHOPPING LIST": ARTICLES 5(WITHDRAWAL; 8(INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD); 8(BIS) (PROGRAM AND BUDGET COMMITTEE); 10 (BIS) (COMPOSITION OF BUDGETS); 11(PROGRAMME AND BUDGET); 12

(ASSESSED CONTRIBUTIONS;) 20(AMENDMENTS) AND ANNEX III (THE REGULAR BUDGET). GROUP B WAS PREPARED TO REGARD ARTICLE 5 AS EXPENDABLE UNTIL US DEL INDICATED IT WAS ESSENTIAL. GROUP B STILL REGARDS ARTICL 5 AS BENEFICIAL ONLY TO THE U.S. (DISCUSSION REFTEL) SINCE THE BASIC POLITICAL EFFECT OF THIS ARTICLE RELATES ONLY TO THE MAJOR CONTRIBUTO. GROUP 77 IS AGREEABLE TO A "SHOPPING LIST" OF ARTICLES 10 BIS, ANNEX III, 11 AND 20. GROUP D HAS NO INTEREST IN ARTICLE 5 AND HAS NOT AGREED TO ANNEX III OF 10 BIS, BUT INSISTS ON ARTICLES 8 AND 8(BIS). WE CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT THE PROTECTION OF ARTICLE 5 IS ESSENTIAL TO US PARTICIPATION IN THE ORGANIZATION. (E) WHAT PROTECTION DOES A HIGH RATIFICATION REQUIREMENT FOR "SHOPPING LIST" ARTICLES GIVE US? IT IS NOW PROPOSED BY GROUP B THAT AMENDMENTS TO THE "SHOPPING LIST" ARTICLES REQUIRE RATIFICATION BY THREE-QUARTERS OF THE MEMBERS. US DEL ESTIMATES THAT GROUP 77 COULD GET THREE-QUARTERS LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 VIENNA 02661 051121Z

OF THE MEMBERSHIP TO RATIFY AN AMENDMENT IS CONSIDERED IMPORTANT. HOWEVER, U.S. DEL THINKS THIS PROVISION IS OF SOME BENEFIT, SINCE IT WOULD GIVE THE US MORE TIME TO HAVE RECOURSE TO ARTICLE 5.

3. WITH RESPECT TO ARTICLE 24 (RESERVATIONS), GROUP B HAD ASSUMED THAT, IN THE USUAL MANNERN GROUP D WAS CONCERNED WITH ITS ABILITY TO RESERVE ON THE SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES ARTICLE (ARTICLE 19). GROUP B ACCORDINGLY MADE SPECIAL EFFORTS TO WORK OUT A FORMULATION OF ARTICLE 19 WHICH WAS NOT OBJECTIONABLE TO GROUP D. IN EXTENSIVE CONVERSATIONS, GROUP D (GDR REPRESENTATIVE IS GROUP D SPOKESMAN) HAS INDICATED THAT IT INSISTES ON KEEPING ITS OPTIONS OPEN ON ARTICLE 24 BECAUSE OF ITS GENERAL CONCERN ABOUT THE BUDGETARY PROVISIONS EVOLVING IN THE CONFERENCE (PURSUANT TO EVENTUAL ARTICLE 11, PARA 4). US DEL DOES NOT KNOW HOW A RESERVATION COULD PROVIDE PROTECTION TO GROUP D FROM AN UNDESIRABLE BUDGETARY PROVISION, E.G., BUDGETARY POWERS OF THE CONFERENCE AND WE DO NOT THINK THEY KNOW EITHER. NEVERTHELESS, US DEL THINKS THAT ANY MOVEMENT BY GROUP D WITH RESPECT TO ARTICLE 24 IS UNLIKELY UNTIL THE MAJOR BUDGETARY PROBLEMS HAVE BEEN RESOLVED. STIBRAVY

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: AGREEMENT DRAFT, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS, INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, MEETING REPORTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 05-Apr-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977VIENNA02661
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770118-0101
Format: TEL
From: VIENNA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770484/aaaacvxl.tel
Line Count: 161
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: ed03efa9-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION IO
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 VIENNA 2362
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 19-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2888051
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: IGC-V: ARTICLES 20 (AMENDMENTS) AND 24 (RESERVATIONS); OUTSTANDING ISSUES
TAGS: EIND, OCON, PORO, UNIDO
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/ed03efa9-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009